Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report

					d P.A. 71 of 1919, a	as amende	ed.						
Lo	al Unit	of Gov	ernment Type				Local Unit Name County						
	□County □City □Twp □Village			□Village	Other	City of Pontia	m						
Fiscal Year End Opinion Date					Opinion Date			Date Audit Report Sub	omitted to State				
We	affirm	that						•					
We	are c	ertifie	d public ac	countants	licensed to pra	actice in	Michigan.						
We	/e further affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the												
Maı	lanagement Letter (report of comments and recommendations).												
	YES	8	Check eac	Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)									
1.				All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.									
2.								unit's unreserved fund budget for expenditure		stricted net assets			
3.			The local u	unit is in c	compliance with	the Uni	form Chart of	Accounts issued by the	e Department o	of Treasury.			
4.			The local u	unit has a	dopted a budge	et for all	required funds						
5.			A public he	earing on	the budget was	s held in	accordance w	ith State statute.					
6.					ot violated the ssued by the Lo				r the Emergen	cy Municipal Loan Act, or			
7.			The local u	unit has n	ot been delinqu	uent in d	istributing tax i	evenues that were col	llected for anot	her taxing unit.			
8.			The local u	unit only h	nolds deposits/i	nvestme	ents that comp	y with statutory require	ements.				
9.			The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).										
10	. 🗆		that have i	not been	previously com	municate	ed to the Loca		vision (LAFD).	uring the course of our audit If there is such activity that has			
11	. 🔲		The local u	unit is free	e of repeated co	omments	s from previous	s years.					
12	. 🗆		The audit	opinion is	UNQUALIFIE	D.							
13	. 🔲				omplied with G g principles (G		or GASB 34 a	s modified by MCGAA	Statement #7	and other generally			
14	. 🗆		The board	or counc	il approves all i	nvoices	prior to payme	ent as required by char	ter or statute.				
15	. 🗆		To our kno	owledge, l	bank reconcilia	tions tha	at were reviewe	ed were performed time	ely.				
inde de I, t	If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects.												
VV	e nav	e end	closed the	rollowing	j:	Enclose	ed Not Require	ed (enter a brief justificati	ion)				
Fi	nancia	al Sta	tements										
Th	e lette	er of	Comments	and Reco	mmendations								
Other (Describe)													
Ce	rtified P	ublic A	Accountant (Fir	m Name)				Telephone Number					
Str	eet Add	Iress						City	State	Zip			
Au	Authorizing CPA Signature Printed Name License Number												

Financial Report
with Required Supplemental Information
December 31, 2006

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2-3
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Plan Net Assets	4
Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-11
Required Supplemental Information	12
Schedule of Analysis of Funding Progress	13
Schedule of Employer Contributions	14





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Retirement Board
City of Pontiac General Employees'
Retirement System

We have audited the accompanying statement of plan net assets of the City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System (a component unit of the City of Pontiac, Michigan) as of December 31, 2006 and the related statement of changes in plan net assets for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the General Employees' Retirement Board of the City of Pontiac. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System as of December 31, 2006 and the results of its operations for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis and required supplemental information (identified in the table of contents) are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Management's Discussion and Analysis

Using this Annual Report

This annual report consists of three parts: (I) management's discussion and analysis (this section), (2) the basic financial statements, and (3) required supplemental information. The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. The financial statements are followed by a section of required supplemental information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements.

Condensed Financial Information

The table below compares key financial information in a condensed format between the current year and the prior year:

	2006		2005	
Total assets	\$	470,400,620	\$	489,069,164
Total liabilities:				
Amounts due broker under securities lending				
agreement		-		52,857,655
Other liabilities		814,096		754,175
Total liabilities		814,096		53,611,830
Assets held in trust for pension benefits	\$	469,586,524	\$	435,457,334
Net investment gain	\$	52,733,490	\$	23,113,895
Net securities lending income		105,835		100,187
Contributions:				
Employee - Reinstated members		13,719		20,291
Employer		15,695		16,926
Retiree pension and annuity benefits	18,214,565			16,562,544
Benefits paid in excess of contributions	18,185,151 16,525,32			16,525,327
General and administrative expenses	524,984 660,93			660,931
Net increase in net assets held in trust	<u>\$</u>	34,129,190	\$	6,027,824

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Overall Fund Structure and Objectives

The City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System (the "System") exists to pay benefits to its members and retirees. Active members earn service credit that entitles them to receive benefits in the future. Benefits currently being paid are significantly greater than contributions currently being received. The excess of benefits over contributions must be funded through investment income. The public capital markets represent the primary source of investments.

Asset Allocation

The System has established asset allocation policies which are expected to deliver sufficient investment income over a very long period of time to satisfy the obligations to pay the benefits promised to the members of the System. The following is a summary of the adopted asset allocation as of December 31, 2006:

Domestic equities	55%
International equities	10%
Domestic fixed income	25%
Real estate	5%
Private equity	5%

Investment Results

In 2006, the stock market remained attractive relative to the bond market as the S&P 500 earnings yield outpaced the 30-year Treasury yield. The bond market was up modestly as the Fed kept interest rates steady toward the end of the year. The domestic equity market grew from last year. The S&P 500 ended the year up 15.8 percent. The fixed income market, measured by the Lehman Aggregate Index, returned 4.6 percent for the year. The international index, MSCI EAFE, was up 10.4 percent for the quarter and 26.3 percent for the year. The total fund excluding real estate returned 11.8 percent for the year.

The System's total fund return must always be considered in a longer-term context. The asset allocation of each fund is built upon the foundation that the obligations of the System to pay the benefits promised to members and retirees are very long-term obligations. Accordingly, the board of trustees must make investment decisions that it believes will be the most beneficial to the System over many years, not just one or two years.

Statement of Plan Net Assets December 31, 2006

Assets		
Cash (Note 3)	\$	100,735
Accrued interest and dividends receivable		1,534,319
Accounts receivable:		
Due from City of Pontiac Police and Fire Retirement System		8,945
Due from broker for pending transactions		321,495
Due from GERS VEBA		72,543
Other receivable		3,098
Investments at fair value - Including loaned securities (Note 3):		
Money market funds		22,446,179
U.S. government agency notes and debentures		14,130,412
Corporate and other bonds		72,414,436
Equities		307,310,871
U.S. government agency mortgage pools		35,363,610
Real estate		16,693,977
Total investments		468,359,485
Total assets		470,400,620
Liabilities		
Due to City of Pontiac		116,191
Accounts payable		697,905
Total liabilities		814,096
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits (a schedule of funding progress is presented in the required supplemental information)	\$	469,586,524

Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets Year Ended December 31, 2006

Additions		
Investment income:		
Interest and dividend income	\$	15,457,978
Net appreciation in fair value		39,471,506
Investment advisor fees		(2,195,994)
Net investment income		52,733,490
Securities lending income:		
Interest and fees		2,116,381
Less borrower rebates and bank fees		(2,010,546)
Net securities lending income		105,835
Contributions:		
Employer		15,695
Employee - Reinstated members		13,719
Total additions		52,868,739
Deductions		
Retirees' pension benefits and retirement incentives		18,214,565
Other expenses		271,011
Charges from the City of Pontiac - Administrative expenses		253,973
Total deductions		18,739,549
Net Increase in Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits		34,129,190
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits - January 1, 2006		435,457,334
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits - December 31, 2006	\$ 4	469,586,524

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City of Pontiac (the "City") sponsors and administers the General Employees' Retirement System (the "System") (a contributory single-employer retirement plan) that covers substantially all employees of the City, except police and fire employees.

Reporting Entity - The financial statements of the System are also included in the combined financial statements of the City as a pension trust fund. The assets of the pension trust fund include no securities of or loans to the City or any other related party. At December 31, 2006, there were no investments in any one organization in excess of 5 percent of net assets available for benefits.

Basis of Accounting - The System's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employer contributions are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

Methods Used to Value Investments - Investments are reported at fair value. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Mortgages are valued on the basis of future principal and interest payments and are discounted at prevailing interest rates for similar instruments. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals or audited financial statements.

Note 2 - Plan Description and Contribution Information

At December 31, 2005, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of the following:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving pension benefits and	
terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	1,331
Current employees:	
Fully vested	129
Nonvested	242
Total current employees	<u>371</u>

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note 2 - Plan Description and Contribution Information (Continued)

Plan Description - The System provides retirement benefits, as well as death and disability benefits. Employees may receive cost of living adjustments as a percentage of their base amount, pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement in effect at their date of retirement. The obligation to contribute to and maintain the System was established by City ordinance and negotiation with the employees' collective bargaining units.

Contributions - Plan members are not required to contribute. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. During the year, only the Stadium Authority Division had a required contribution. Administrative costs are financed through investment earnings.

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The System is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan

The System is also authorized by Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, to invest in certain reverse repurchase agreements, stocks, diversified investment companies, annuity investment contracts, real estate leased to public entities, mortgages, real estate (if the trust fund's assets exceed \$250 million), debt or equity of certain small businesses, certain state and local government obligations, and certain other specified investment vehicles.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

The System has designated one bank for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the board in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in all allowable investments under Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended. The System's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority. The System's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the System's deposits may not be returned to it. The System does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the System had approximately \$1,000 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The System believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the System evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of investments will decrease as a result of a rise in interest rates. The System's investment policy does not restrict investment maturities. At year end, the average maturities of investments are as follows:

		Weighted
Investment	 Fair Value	Average Maturity
Money market funds	\$ 22,688,758	.08 years
Corporate and other bonds	73,141,635	7.03 years
U.S. government agency notes and debentures	14,339,591	11.78 years
U.S. government agency mortgage pools	35,571,833	21.75 years

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk

State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The System's investment policy limits investments in domestic fixed-income securities to not less than a CCC rating for an overall average quality of each high-yield portfolio; the overall quality rating of each high-grade portfolio must be AA or an equivalent rating; for domestic equity investments, the securities must be the equivalent of Standard & Poor's A1 or Moody's P-1; for global bonds, the overall average quality must be AA or higher. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities are as follows:

	F	air Market		
Investment Type		Value	Moody's Rating	
Corporate and other bonds	\$	5,824,969	ΑI	
Corporate and other bonds		2,733,188	A2	
Corporate and other bonds		2,064,521	A3	
Corporate and other bonds		5,077,301	AAI	
Corporate and other bonds		961,917	AA2	
Corporate and other bonds		7,658,263	AA3	
Corporate and other bonds		8,538,392	AAA	
Corporate and other bonds		215,957	ВІ	
Corporate and other bonds		2,591,381	B2	
Corporate and other bonds		1,965,427	В3	
Corporate and other bonds		1,012,306	BAAI	
Corporate and other bonds		1,898,814	BAA2	
Corporate and other bonds		751,310	BAA3	
Corporate and other bonds		806,104	CAAI	
Corporate and other bonds		2,045,446	CAA2	
Corporate and other bonds		489,894	CAA3	
Corporate and other bonds		28,506,445	Unrated	
U.S. government agency mortgage pools		1,032,285	AA2	
U.S. government agency mortgage pools		25,236,150	U.S. Agency	
Money market funds		22,895,115	Unrated	

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Concentration of Credit Risk

The System places no limit on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. The investment in CAPROC, totaling approximately \$11,368,000, represents approximately 2.4 percent of the System's net assets. Two of the 12 members on the CAPROC board are General Employees' Retirement System trustees.

Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that an investment denominated in the currency of a foreign country could reduce its U.S. dollar value as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The pension system limits total assets in international equities and fixed income funds to 10 percent of the total pension systems market value. The following securities are subject to foreign currency risk:

	Fair Market		Mutual		
	Value		Funds		 Equity
Australian Dollar	\$	8,886	\$	263	\$ 8,623
British Pound Sterling		19,838		34	19,804
Danish Krone		44		44	-
Euro Currency Unit		85,513		2,296	81,217
Hong Kong Dollar		195,369	I	95,369	-
Japanese Yen		1,983		1,983	-
Malaysian Ringgit		101		-	101
Mexican New Peso		2		2	-
Polish Zloty		30,275		2,482	27,793
Romanian Leu		4,935		430	4,505
Russian Rubel (New)		12,735		45 I	12,284
S. African Comm Rand		I		I	-
Singapore Dollar		13		13	-
Swiss Franc		32,413		32,413	-
Ukraine Hryvana		48,767		-	48,767

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

As permitted by state statutes and under the provisions of a securities lending authorization agreement, the System lends securities to broker-dealers and banks for collateral that will be returned for the same securities in the future. The System's custodial bank manages the securities lending program and receives cash as collateral. Borrowers are required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to not less than 100 percent of the market value of the loaned securities. During the year ended December 31, 2006, only United States currency was received as collateral.

The System did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of loans made on its behalf by the custodial bank. There were no failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the fiscal year. Moreover, there were no losses during the fiscal year resulting from a default of the borrowers or custodial bank.

The General Employees' Retirement System and the borrower maintain the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested, together with the cash collateral of other lenders, in an investment pool. Because the loans are terminable on demand, their duration did not generally match the duration of the investments made with cash collateral. On December 31, 2006, the System had no securities on loan.

Note 4 - Reserves

State law requires employee contributions to be segregated. In addition, amounts must be set aside as determined by the actuary to fund benefits to retirees currently approved to receive benefits. As of December 31, 2006, the System's reserves have been fully funded as follows:

Reserved for employee contributions
Reserved for retired employees

3,689,369 185,562,003

Note 5 - Subsequent Event

During April 2007, the System entered into a buy-out agreement related to certain investments in real estate. In exchange for the System's interest in the investment, the System received \$1,775,000 of cash at closing and entered into a note for the balance of approximately \$10,890,000, including accrued interest of \$220,000. The investment was valued at \$12,378,000 as of December 31, 2006.

Required	Supp	lemental	Information

Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Analysis of Funding Progress

	Actuarial	Actuarial				UAAL as a
Actuarial	Value of	Accrued Liability	Unfunded AAL	Funded Ratio	Covered	Percentage
Valuation	Assets	(AAL)	(UAAL)	(Percent)	Payroll	of Covered
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	Payroll
12/31/00*	\$ 378,063,942	\$ 217,942,909	\$ (160,121,033)	173.5	\$ 18,728,688	-
12/31/01**	395,743,819	227,901,435	(167,842,384)	173.6	19,887,803	-
12/31/02*	393,214,033	235,422,367	(157,791,666)	167.0	20,039,136	-
12/31/03	394,367,065	247,396,857	(146,970,208)	159.4	20,807,612	-
12/31/04	394,807,254	258,365,787	(136,441,467)	152.8	21,320,477	-
12/31/05	391,409,757	260,103,260	(131,306,497)	150.5	16,751,815	=

^{*} Plan amended

^{**} Certain assumptions revised

Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Employer Contributions

	Annual	
Year Ended	Required	Percentage
December 31	Contribution	Contributed
1999	\$ 133,572	218.9
2000	175,021	100.0
2001	139,583	119.0
2002	46,888	166.0
2003	10,608	100.0
2004	2,767	392.9
2005	16,926	100.0
2006	15,695	100.0

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of December 31, 2005, the latest actuarial valuation, is as follows:

Actuarial cost method	Individual entry age normal cost
Amortization method	Level percent of payroll
Remaining amortization period	30 years, open
Asset valuation method	Market value with five-year smoothing of gains and losses

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return* 7.5%

Projected salary increases* 4.5% to 9.4%

*Includes inflation at 4.5%

Cost of living adjustments 2% (2.5% Court/MAPE) of original amount, subject to a

maximum that varies by group





Surte 400 1000 Oakbrook Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: 734.665.9494 Fax: 734.665.0664 plantemoran.com

June 12, 2007

To Mr. Charlie Harrison III, Chairman (GERS)
To Mr. Craig Storum, Chairman (PFRS)
To Mr. Ray Cochran, Chairman (General VEBA)
To Mr. Brian Lee, Chairman (Police and Fire VEBA)
To the City of Pontiac Retirement Board's
General Employees Retirement System
and Police and Fire Retirement System
47450 Woodward Ave
Pontiac, MI 48342

Dear Mr. Harrison, Mr. Storum, Mr. Cochran, and Mr. Lee:

We recently completed the audits of the General Employees Retirement System and the Police and Fire Retirement System (the "Systems"), as well as the related VEBAs, for the year ended December 31, 2006. We continue to be impressed with the organized and thorough manner in which Deborah Munson prepared for the audit. Her assistance in preparing the necessary schedules was greatly appreciated. As a result of the audit, we offer the following comments and recommendations for your consideration:

Retiree Health Care Benefits

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has released Statement Number 45, Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" post employment benefits (other than pensions). The intent of the new rules is to recognize the cost of providing retiree health care coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the health care premiums are paid.

The new pronouncement will require the City to obtain a valuation of the obligation to provide retiree health care benefits, including an amortization of the past service cost over a period of up to 30 years. The valuation must include an annual recommended contribution (ARC). While the ARC does not need to be funded each year, any underfunding must be reported as a liability on the government-wide statement of net assets of the City.

The GASB statement has provided substantial incentive to fund the obligation in accordance with the annual recommended contribution. In addition to the normal fairness issue of paying for a service as you use it, the GASB has directed that lower rates of return be used for evaluating the annual recommended contribution in situations where the recommended contribution is not being funded. This will significantly increase the calculation of the following year's contribution. As a result, funding the contribution on a current basis should reduce the long-run cost. The accounting change will become effective for the City for the year ended June 30, 2009. GASB Statement Number 43, Financial Reporting for Post employment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, is related to the VEBA plans themselves and will be effective for the year ending December 31, 2007. This statement impacts the reporting and disclosures made by the plan.

2

The City has established the two VEBAs to begin to pre-fund this liability. It is our understanding that the General VEBA was established to cover all current and retired general employees, other than hospital employees. However, the Police and Fire VEBA only covers persons retiring after August 22, 1996. As a result, there are significant numbers of employees (approximately 250) that are not currently being pre-funded through a VEBA.

Document Storage

As mentioned in the past, the Systems are responsible for keeping many important documents and records that often cannot be recreated (i.e., board minutes, member files, financial records, etc.). Given the very limited space allocated to the Systems' offices, it is difficult to provide adequate storage. It is imperative that the Systems maintain storage space that is not only well organized, but is also secure and fireproof. We recommend that the Systems review their current operating space and document storage facilities in an effort to improve conditions in order to ensure the safety of the related records. As a result of the current method of record keepings, during expenditure testing, there was a check copy that could not be located on the premises.

In the past, we have suggested looking into document imaging and understand the Systems have begun to research various options as they relate to different imaging systems. Document imaging would mitigate the need to retain paper files for extended periods. Documents would instead be scanned to create an electronic backup. Document imaging, while not necessarily a new technology, is being used increasingly as a means to provide a safe and effective back-up solution while maximizing space limitations.

We also continue to suggest that the Systems should consider various aspects of disaster recovery. If there were a fire or other catastrophe and the paper records and/or computer system were destroyed, the Systems should have a disaster recovery plan in place.

Bank Reconciliation Process

During our review of the bank reconciliation process, it was noted that one individual prepares the bank reconciliations with no documented review process by a separate individual. We recommend that the Systems' have an individual review the bank reconciliations after preparation, and document this review by signing and dating the reconciliations to enhance the controls in this area.



We would like to thank the Systems' staff for the excellent cooperation extended to us during the audit. We look forward to discussing our comments and recommendations with you. If you have any questions or require assistance in the implementation of our recommendations, please call us at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

PLANTE & MORAN, PLLC

e will it withat (

Beth A. Bialy

Wendy N. Trumbuli

